Articles For Lesson Plan, “Urban Geography and Large Scale White Migration and White Flight

The articles in this packet accompany the lesson plan, “Economic Geography and Redlining” found on the MIHV website:

http://invisiblehistory.ops.org/StudentProjects/RedlininginOmaha/tabid/1335/Default.aspx

Index:

1. Omaha’s HOLC maps, found in “The Lasting Impact of Redline on Racial Segregation in Omaha” p. 2-7.

2. “Here Are The Urban Highway’s That Deserve to Die” p. 8-11.

3. “Visit Omaha” map p. 12
Introduction: In 1935, bankers and real estate agents working with the Home Owners Loan Corporation in Omaha drew a map that would designate whether neighborhoods were worthy of receiving federal housing loans. Desirable areas were colored in green, while "hazardous" neighborhoods were drawn in red, leading to the term "redlining." This map was drawn largely on the basis of race and led to devastating disinvestment in Omaha’s historically black near-northside. Racially restrictive covenants and other forms of discrimination also prevented black residents from purchasing homes in better-off neighborhoods—contributing to the racial wealth gap in Omaha today. The maps below show trends in the black-white racial segregation in Omaha, using the HOLC redlining map as a base map. These maps could serve as an effective teaching tool to illustrate the historical roots of racial segregation in Omaha and help city planners think about ways to reverse the discriminatory practices of the past to create a more equitable city.
The local HOLC experts identified three areas as “hazardous”:

1) The near-northside neighborhood, between roughly Cuming and Lake Streets and 20th and 30th streets,
2) Two areas near the stockyards in South Omaha.

The “Best” area in Omaha centered on Memorial Park (then the Dundee Golf Club) and the Fairacres neighborhood.
1950 Census Data

- In 1950, African Americans in Omaha lived almost exclusively in the near-northside; illustrating how the redlining reinforced racial segregation and disinvestment.

- Census tract-level data was not available for areas in the western part of the map, but those areas were likely majority white.
1980 Census Data

- The black-white racial distribution in 1980 Omaha shows the concept of “white flight” from 1950 to 1980—where white residents moved away from neighborhoods adjacent to the near northside following the desegregation of schools.

- Black residents filled in behind them; leading to most of North Omaha becoming predominantly African American.
2013-2017 ACS Data
Data sources: US Census and American Community Survey data was collected from the IPUMS NHGIS at University of Minnesota (www.nhgis.org). The Omaha redlining map was provided by Palma Strand, Creighton University professor who retrieved it from the National Archives in 2015.
Here Are the Urban Highways That Deserve to Die

1. CLAIRE TRAN  APRIL 3, 2019, “CITYLAB”


The Congress for New Urbanism once again ranks the most-loathed urban freeways in North America—and makes the case for tearing them down.

On one side of Interstate 980 in Oakland rise the new glass skyscrapers of the city’s Uptown neighborhood, home to a bustling entertainment district and Silicon
Valley’s spillover tech startups. On the other lies West Oakland, a “food desert” where two-thirds of residents live below the poverty line.

West Oakland residents should be able to benefit from the growing number of amenities available in Uptown, since they technically live in walking distance. But crossing the 560-foot-wide interstate and two frontage roads is a daunting task. It’s a prime example of one of America’s most divisive freeways—literally.

The 2019 edition of the latest Freeways Without Futures report, assembled semiannually by the Congress for New Urbanism, calls out North America’s 10 most ill-advised urban highways. These are the roadways urbanists love to hate: They isolate neighborhoods, subject residents to increased air and noise pollution, pummel property values, and sponge up resources that could be better used elsewhere. In an effort to speed their demise, every few years CNU gathers transportation and design experts to single out the worst offenders, ranking the freeways most ripe for removal based on alternative designs, traffic conditions, community and political support, and other factors.

Highway teardowns are a focus of growing attention, especially since many aging 1950s-era infrastructure projects have reached the end of their design lives and are due for refurbishment. Some cities are electing to remove rather than repair: So far, 16 North American cities have either demolished and replaced their freeways, and another nine have plans in the works.

San Francisco’s 1991 Embarcadero demolition is the poster child for this movement: Previously home to an elevated freeway, the site now features a walkable boulevard with shops and waterfront access. More recently, Seattle is currently aiming to pull off a similar transformation by removing its elevated Alaskan Way Viaduct for a street-level boulevard and waterfront space.

Foes of Oakland’s I-980 hope that this Bay Area interstate will soon meet the same fate. A short spur completed in 1985, I-980 is a repeat offender, having made CNU’s 2017 list, too. Its 18 lanes are excessive for current traffic loads (it’s at 53 percent of capacity), and plans to replace it with a surface boulevard have been around for years. But there’s fresh energy behind the idea these days, thanks to support from Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf. A reworked design promoted by the nonprofit ConnectOAKLAND would shrink the road by 75 percent, gaining as many as 15 cross streets and reclaiming land that could be put toward affordable housing.
As the CNU list shows, communities of color are often targeted by freeway projects. In Dallas, I-345 tore a hole in the heart of the mostly African-American Deep Ellum neighborhood, leading many original businesses to close their doors. Tampa’s I-275 cuts off Ybor City, a National Historic Landmark District that’s home to a Cuban-American community. And the construction of Syracuse’s I-81 paved over homes in the city’s historic African-American 15th Ward; New York Governor Andrew Cuomo called that freeway “a classic planning blunder.”

Once built, urban highways can be hard to kill. Indeed, they often just get bigger.

New Orleans’ elevated Claiborne Expressway is a particularly stark case study in how highway construction can transform a neighborhood. Its arrival in the 1960s destroyed the grassy 100-foot median of Claiborne Boulevard, the oak-lined main artery of the largely African-American Tremé neighborhood. That green space once hosted everything from neighborhood games to Mardi Gras parades. When the expressway was planned in the 1960s, the community didn’t have enough political power to resist the project. Demolishing the freeway and restoring the wide boulevard would hand that space back to the community. Vacant lots currently adjacent to the highway could also be redeveloped, opening nearly 50 acres of land for new commercial development or affordable housing.
The dream of liberating prime real estate is also at play in Portland and Louisville, both of which have highways (I-5 and I-64, respectively) running along their waterfronts. Serial bad-highway offender Buffalo claims two more much-hated 1960s thoroughfares on the list: The Kensington Expressway replaced the wide elm-lined Olmsted-designed Humboldt Parkway with an asphalt trench; the Scajaquada Expressway routes traffic right through the city’s Delaware Park, forcing picnickers and joggers to run alongside traffic. A scheme to convert that highway into a lower-speed boulevard ran into fierce opposition and was scrapped last year.

That’s a familiar narrative, as political and practical considerations often trump demolition dreams. Once built, urban highways can be hard to kill. Indeed, they often just get bigger: Last August, Denver spent $1.2 billion to expand I-70, demolishing 56 private residences* and 17 businesses in the process, including one of the few neighborhood grocery stores and part of a nearby elementary school. But last December, Colorado’s Department of Transportation settled a lawsuit and agreed to sponsor an independent study on the health impacts of the expansion. That’s in line with recent political developments in the state. Newly elected Governor Jared Polis campaigned on cutting vehicle emissions and promoting public transit, and last November, voters rejected two propositions to spend billions on highway widening and expansion.

The massive interregional traffic on Austin’s I-35 makes complete removal impossible without serious delays, but there are other options, the CNU report says. Some community activists suggest putting the freeway below ground as a tunnel, and covering it with a narrower, pedestrian- and bike-friendly boulevard with walkable
shops and dedicated transit lanes. Booming Austin is already dealing with increased gentrification, but burying the highway could open up about 30 acres of land which could help meet the demand for affordable housing.

Cities that do undertake freeway removal projects should develop strategies to combat displacement, or “the removal of the highway could simply exert a new generation of inequity for communities that have seen enough,” the report says. One example of how to do it right: Rochester’s Inner Loop East project. With the extra land gained by filling in the sunken expressway, the city created three mixed-use developments that include below-market-rate apartments. Another partnership is currently in the works to dedicate 20 units to a supportive housing program for formerly homeless residents.

Many residents, or their descendants, who were impacted by initial freeway construction will also be able to see it torn down within their lifetime. For them, demolition could represent an opportunity to right a historic wrong—“provided they will take part in the renaissance that results,” as the report says.